
EXHIBIT 4



```
1
     that the motion to dismiss in case one said, and that's why
 2
     you have an MDL, so you can have common rulings on those
 3
     issues that don't deviate. That's why we are here.
 4
              And there are a few key things. We talked about
 5
     third parties. For the indirect purchasers in particular we
 6
     need third-party discovery in order to certify our classes.
 7
                           We are not there yet.
              THE COURT:
 8
              MR. WILLIAMS: I understand, but there is a
 9
     critical point here because what you heard the wire harness
     defendants say, we can do that, we've got to go get this
10
11
     third-party discovery. We can't go to them 29 times.
12
     indirect purchasers, we can only go to them once because,
13
     Your Honor, if Toyota came before you and said this is my
14
     fifth subpoena now, this is unfair, I'm a third party, you
15
     can't keep subjecting me to this, they would have a pretty
16
     good argument. We are entitled to have this on the table so
17
     we can go to them once, jointly if we have to with
18
     defendants, and we will try to work with them because we want
19
     the information, they want the information, but we can't go
20
     to them 29 times.
21
              THE COURT:
                           Okay.
22
              MR. WILLIAMS:
                              Thank you.
23
              THE COURT:
                           That's kind of what I want to talk
24
     about here because as I read the motion I realize that before
25
     this case does get out of hand, and it's not there yet but if
```

1	CERTIFICATION
2	
3	I, Robert L. Smith, Official Court Reporter of
4	the United States District Court, Eastern District of
5	Michigan, appointed pursuant to the provisions of Title 28,
6	United States Code, Section 753, do hereby certify that the
7	foregoing pages comprise a full, true and correct transcript
8	taken in the matter of IN RE: AUTOMOTIVE PARTS ANTITRUST
9	LITIGATION, Case No. 12-02311, on Wednesday, June 4, 2014.
10	
11	
12	s/Robert L. Smith Robert L. Smith, RPR, CSR 5098
13	Federal Official Court Reporter United States District Court
14	Eastern District of Michigan
15	
16	
17	Date: 06/12/2014
18	Detroit, Michigan
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
I	l l